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## Resum (CAT)

Els partial cube-menors són una analogia de la noció de menors als partial cubes. En aquest article determinem el conjunt de pc-menors de les classes dels partial cubes outerplanars i els partial cubes sèrie-parallel. Aquest és el primer resultat d'aquest tipus per als partial cubes d'una classe tancada per menors.

## Abstract (ENG)

Partial cube-minors are an analogue of graph minors in partial cubes. We determine the set of forbidden partial cube minors of the classes of outerplanar and seriesparallel partial cubes. This is the first result of this type for the partial cubes in a minor closed graph class.
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## 1. Introduction

Denote by $Q_{d}$ the hypercube graph of dimension d, i.e., its vertices are the elements of $\{0,1\}^{d}$ and two vertices are adjacent if they differ in exactly one entry. Partial cubes are the graphs that admit an isometric embedding into a hypercube; see Figure 1 for examples. They were introduced by Graham and Pollak [19] in the study of interconnection networks, form an important graph class in media theory [18], frequently appear in chemical graph theory [17, 20], and quoting [21], present one of the central and most studied classes in Metric Graph Theory. Some classes of partial cubes that are studied within Metric Graph Theory include median graphs [4], bipartite cellular graphs [3], hypercellular graphs [12], Pasch graphs [11], netlike partial cubes [24], and two-dimensional partial cubes [13]. Partial cubes arise also from geometry as graphs of regions of hyperplane arrangements in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ [6], tope graphs of oriented matroids (OMs) [7], 1-skeleta of CAT(0) cube complexes [4], and more generally: tope graphs of complexes of oriented matroids [5].

An interesting structural feature of partial cubes is that they admit a natural minor-relation (pc-minors for short) consisting of restrictions and contractions, which are special forms of deletion and contraction in the graph. Many important classes of partial cubes are closed under taking pc-minors. Analogously to graph minors, given a pc-minor closed class there exists a list of excluded pc-minors of the class. Contrary to the situation of graph minors [25] for pc-minors this list might be infinite. If the list is finite, this also allows for a polynomial time recognition algorithm of the class [23]. Even if the list is infinite, determining it can yield insight into the class. All excluded minors are known for tope graphs of complexes of oriented matroids [23], two-dimensional partial cubes [13], median graphs, bipartite cellular graphs, hypercellular graphs, and Pasch graphs [12]. See [22, Chap. 7.5] for more related material. Since pc-minors are special graph minors, one source for pc-minor closed classes of partial cubes is the class of partial cubes in a minor-closed graph class. In the present paper we analyze the first non-trivial instance of such a class: partial cubes that are outerplanar partial cubes, i.e., they admit a crossing-free drawing in the plane such that all vertices lie on the outer face. We give a full description of its infinite list of excluded pc-minors (Theorem 4.21). Further, we obtain the list for series-parallel partial cubes (Theorem 4.22). Our proof uses the excluded minors for these classes [9] and we discuss in Section 5 possible extensions to other pc-minor closed classes. This short version omits some proofs, which can be found in [26].

## 2. Partial cubes

All graphs $G=(V, E)$ occurring in this paper are simple, connected, and finite. The distance $d(u, v):=$ $d_{G}(u, v)$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$ is the length of a shortest $(u, v)$-path, and the interval $I(u, v)$ between $u$ and $v$ consists of all vertices on shortest $(u, v)$-paths: $I(u, v):=\{x \in V: d(u, x)+d(x, v)=$ $d(u, v)\}$. If this causes no confusion, we will denote the distance function of $G$ by $d$ and not $d_{G}$. An induced subgraph of $G$ is called convex if it includes the interval of $G$ between any two of its vertices. An induced subgraph $H$ of $G$ is isometric if the distance between any pair of vertices in $H$ is the same as that in $G$. In particular, convex subgraphs are isometric. A graph $G=(V, E)$ is isometrically embeddable into a graph $H=(W, F)$ if there exists a mapping $\varphi \rightarrow V \rightarrow W$ such that $d_{H}(\varphi(u), \varphi(v))=d_{G}(u, v)$ for all vertices $u, v \in V$, i.e., $\varphi(G)$ is an isometric subgraph of $H$. A graph $G$ is called a partial cube if it admits an isometric embedding into the hypercube $Q_{d}$. From now on, we will suppose that a partial cube $G=(V, E)$ is an isometric subgraph of the hypercube $Q_{d}$, i.e., we will identify $G$ with its image under the isometric embedding and its vertices will often be denoted as elements of $\{0,1\}^{d}$. The minimal $d$ such that $G$ embeds isometrically into $Q_{d}$ is called the (isometric) dimension of $G$. The edges of $G$ are
partitioned into so-called $\Theta$-classes, i.e., $e \Theta e^{\prime}$ iff both edges correspond to a switch in the same coordinate of $Q_{d}$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}=\left\{E_{i}: i \in[d]\right\}$ the equivalence classes of $\Theta$. Sometimes we will refer to $\Theta$ as a function $\Theta: E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. The $\Theta$-classes can be characterized intrinsically and do not depend on the embedding [16].

### 2.1 Partial cube minors

Let $G=(V, E)$ be an isometric subgraph of the hypercube $Q_{d}$. Given $f \in[d]$, an elementary restriction consists in taking one of the two connected components $\rho_{f^{-}}(G)$ and $\rho_{f^{+}}(G)$ of $G \backslash E_{f}$. These graphs are isometric subgraphs of the hypercube $Q([d] \backslash\{f\})$. Now applying twice the elementary restriction to two different coordinates $f, g$, independently of the order of $f$ and $g$, we will obtain one of the four (possibly empty) subgraphs. Since the intersection of convex subsets is convex, each of these four subgraphs is convex in $G$ and consequently induces an isometric subgraph of the hypercube $Q([d] \backslash\{f, g\})$. More generally, a restriction is a convex subgraph $\rho_{A}(G)$ of $G$, where $A \in\{+,-, 0\}^{[d]}$, obtained by iteratively applying $\rho_{e^{A_{e}}}$ for all $A_{e} \neq 0$. The following is well-known:

Lemma 2.1 ( $[1,2]$ ). The set of restrictions of a partial cube $G$ coincides with its set of convex subgraphs. In particular, the class of partial cubes is closed under taking restrictions.

For $f \in[d]$, we say that the graph $G / E_{f}$ obtained from $G$ by contracting the edges of the equivalence class $E_{f}$ is an ( $f$-)contraction of $G$. For a vertex $v$ of $G$, we will denote by $\pi_{f}(v)$ the image of $v$ under the $f$-contraction in $G / E_{f}$, i.e., if $u v$ is an edge of $E_{f}$, then $\pi_{f}(u)=\pi_{f}(v)$, otherwise $\pi_{f}(u) \neq \pi_{f}(v)$. We will apply $\pi_{f}$ to subsets $S \subset V$, by setting $\pi_{f}(S):=\left\{\pi_{f}(v): v \in S\right\}$. In particular, we denote the $f$-contraction of $G$ by $\pi_{f}(G)$. It is well-known and follows from the proof of the first part of [10, Thm. 3] that $\pi_{f}(G)$ is an isometric subgraph of $Q([d] \backslash\{f\})$. Since edge contractions in graphs commute, i.e., the resulting graph does not depend on the order in which a set of edges is contracted, we have:

Lemma 2.2. Contractions commute in partial cubes, i.e., if $f, g \in[d]$ and $f \neq g$, then $\pi_{g}\left(\pi_{f}(G)\right)=$ $\pi_{f}\left(\pi_{g}(G)\right)$. Moreover, the class of partial cubes is closed under contractions.

Consequently, for a set $A \subset[d]$, we can denote by $\pi_{A}(G)$ the isometric subgraph of $Q([d] \backslash A)$ obtained from $G$ by contracting the classes $A \subset[d]$ in $G$. Finally, we have:

Lemma 2.3 ([12]). Contractions and restrictions commute in partial cubes, i.e., if $f, g \in[d]$ and $f \neq g$, then $\rho_{g^{+}}\left(\pi_{f}(G)\right)=\pi_{f}\left(\rho_{g^{+}}(G)\right)$.

The previous lemmas show that any set of restrictions and any set of contractions of a partial cube $G$ provide the same result, independently of the order in which we perform the restrictions and contractions. The resulting graph $G^{\prime}$ is also a partial cube, and $G^{\prime}$ is called a partial cube-minor (or pc-minor) of $G$.

### 2.2 Expansions and Cartesian products

A partial cube $G$ is an expansion of a partial cube $G^{\prime}$ if $G^{\prime}=\pi_{f}(G)$ for some equivalence class $f$ of $\mathcal{E}(G)$. More generally, let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph containing two isometric subgraphs $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $G^{\prime}=G_{1}^{\prime} \cup G_{2}^{\prime}$, there are no edges from $G_{1}^{\prime} \backslash G_{2}^{\prime}$ to $G_{2}^{\prime} \backslash G_{1}^{\prime}$, and $G_{0}^{\prime}:=G_{1}^{\prime} \cap G_{2}^{\prime}$ is nonempty. A graph $G$ is an isometric expansion of $G^{\prime}$ with respect to $G_{0}^{\prime}$ if $G$ is obtained from $G^{\prime}$ by replacing each vertex $v$ of $G_{1}^{\prime}$ by a vertex $v_{1}$ and each vertex $v$ of $G_{2}^{\prime}$ by a vertex $v_{2}$ such that $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}, i=1,2$, are adjacent in $G$ if and only if $u$
and $v$ are adjacent vertices of $G_{i}^{\prime}$ and $v_{1} v_{2}$ is an edge of $G$ if and only if $v$ is a vertex of $G_{0}^{\prime}$. Every partial cube can be obtained from a single vertex by a sequence of expansions [10].

The Cartesian product $F_{1} \square F_{2}$ of two graphs $F_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $F_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ is the graph defined on $V_{1} \times V_{2}$ with an edge $\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $u=v$ and $u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \in E_{2}$ or $u^{\prime}=v^{\prime}$ and $u v \in E_{1}$. Cartesian products of partial cubes are partial cubes. It follows immediately from the definitions that:
Lemma 2.4. A partial cube $G$ is an expansion of the partial cube $G^{\prime}$ if and only if $G^{\prime} \subseteq G \subseteq G^{\prime} \square K_{2}$ are isometric subgraphs.

## 3. The excluded minors

A graph is outerplanar if it admits a planar drawing for which all vertices lie on the outer face of the drawing. This class is minor-closed hence also outerplanar partial cubes have a set of excluded pc-minors, which we will denote by $\Omega$. Denote by $L:=K_{1,3} \square K_{2}$ the book graph and by $n \geq 3, G_{n}$ is the gear graph, i.e., the graph formed by $2 n+1$ vertices: an even exterior cycle of length $2 n$ and a center vertex adjacent to one bipartition class of the cycle.


Figure 1: The cube, the book graph, and the infinite family of gear graphs.
It is easy to see that all the partial cubes in Figure 1 are pc-minor minimal non-outerplanar. Our main result is that they are the only such graphs. The proof will occupy the rest of this paper.

## 4. Main proof

### 4.1 Preparation

Before we get into the proof, we need some lemmas whose proofs are omitted in this short version.
Lemma 4.1. If $G \in \Omega$, then $G$ is planar.
Let $G$ be a graph, let $F$ be a set of edges, let $H \subseteq G$ be a subdivision of a certain graph $K$. We say that $F$ destroys $H$ if $H / F$ is not a subdivision of $K$. We say that $F$ destroys $K$ if $G / F$ does not contain any subdivision of $K$ as a subgraph.
iil

Lemma 4.2. Let $G \in \Omega$, let $E_{i}$ be a $\Theta$-class. Then $E_{i}$ destroys $K_{4}$ or $K_{2,3}$. In particular, if $H \subseteq G$ is a subdivision of $K_{4}$ or $K_{2,3}$, then $E_{i}$ destroys $H$.

If $H \subseteq G$ is a subgraph, we refer to the induced subgraph by $V(H)$ as the induced subgraph by $H$ and denote it as $G[H]$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $G$ be a graph. Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subdivision of a certain graph $K$. Let $F$ be a matching. Then $F \backslash E(G[H])$ does not destroy $H$.

If $H \subseteq G$ is a subgraph and $F$ is a set of edges of $G$, then we denote $F[H]:=F \cap E(G[H])$.
Lemma 4.4. Let $G \in \Omega$. Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subdivision of $K_{4}$ or $K_{2,3}$. Let $E_{i}$ be a $\Theta$-class. Then $E_{i}[H] \neq \emptyset$.

### 4.2 Three lemmas

Lemma 4.5. Let $G$ be a partial cube containing a subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ or $K_{4}$ such that no pc-minor of $G$ does. If $\operatorname{dim}(G) \leq 3$, then $G=G_{3}$ or $G=Q_{3}$.

Proof. Partial cubes of dimension 0,1 , and 2 are all outerplanar. For dimension 3, note that any pc-minor of $G$ will be a subgraph of $Q_{2}$, thus outerplanar. Among all partial cubes of dimension 3, the only ones containing a subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ or $K_{4}$ are $G_{3}$ and $Q_{3}$.

From now we can restrict to partial cubes of isometric dimension at least 4. We start with those containing only a subdivision of $K_{2,3}$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $G$ be a partial cube with $\operatorname{dim}(G) \geq 4$ containing a subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ but none of $K_{4}$ such that no pc-minor of $G$ contains a subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. Then $G=L$.

Proof. Among all subdivisions of $K_{2,3}$ contained in $G$, we choose a $K_{2,3}$-subdivision $H$ contained in $G$ with the minimum number of vertices. Let $a, b, c, d, z$ be the original vertices of $K_{2,3}$, with $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(a)=3=$ $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(z)$. H consists in three disjoint paths $\overline{a b z}, \overline{a c z}$, and $\overline{a d z}$ called main paths. Each one of these paths contains at least two edges in two different $\Theta$-classes. We can assume that $b, c, d$ are the first vertex in each main path respectively, i.e., $a b, a c, a d \in E(H)$. Let $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}$ be $\Theta$-classes such that $a b \in E_{1}$, $a c \in E_{2}, a d \in E_{3}$.

Claim 4.7. Let $P$ be a main path. Let $u, v \in P$ such that $\{u, v\} \neq\{a, z\}$. If $u v \notin E(H)$, then $u v \notin E(G)$.
Proof. Assume $u v \notin E(H)$ and $u v \in E(G)$. Since $u, v \in P$, there is a vertex $w \in P$ between $u$ and $v$ such that $w \notin Q:=\overline{a u v z}$. Since $\{u, v\} \neq\{a, z\}, \ell(Q) \geq 2$. Also, $w \notin Q$ implies that $\ell(Q)<\ell(P)$. Let $H^{\prime}$ be the graph built from $H$ and replacing $P$ for $Q$. $H^{\prime}$ is a subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ with less vertices than $H$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $H$ is minimal in vertices (Figure 2).

We conclude that there are no induced edges between vertices contained in the same main path, except maybe between $a$ and $z$.

Claim 4.8. Let $u, v \in H$, vertices from two different main paths. Any path in $G$ between $u$ and $v$ goes through $a$ or $z$. In particular, $u v \notin E(G)$.

Proof. Let $P, Q$ be two main paths such that $u \in P, v \in Q$. Let $R$ be a path between $u$ and $v$ such that $a, z \notin R$. Note that $u \in P \backslash\{a, z\}, v \in Q \backslash\{a, z\}$ are two disjoint paths. Assume that $P \cap R=\{u\}$ and $Q \cap R=\{v\}$. Now a $K_{4}$-subdivision is formed, picking as original vertices $a, u, v, z$ and six main paths, where $R$ is one of them and the others paths are contained in $H$ (Figure 2).


Figure 2: Claims 4.7 and 4.8: If $u v$ exists, then: (left) there is a $K_{2,3}$-subdivision not containing $w$ or (right) there is a $K_{4}$-subdivision.

Claims 4.7 and 4.8 imply that $a z$ will be (if it exists) the only edge in $G$ induced by $H$.
Claim 4.9. $a$ and $z$ differ in only one coordinate, i.e., $a z \in E(G)$.
Proof. Assume $a$ and $z$ differ in at least two coordinates, i.e., $a=(0,0, \ldots)$ and $z=(1,1, \ldots)$. Let $E_{1}, E_{2}$ be the $\Theta$-classes corresponding to the first two coordinates. Since the three main paths are disjoint, there exist $e_{1 b}, e_{1 c}, e_{1 d} \in E_{1}$ and $e_{2 b}, e_{2 c}, e_{2 d} \in E_{2}$ such that $e_{1 b}, e_{2 b} \in \overline{a b z}, e_{1 c}, e_{2 c} \in \overline{a c z}, e_{1 d}, e_{2 d} \in \overline{a d z}$. Then there exist three vertices $u_{b} \in \overline{a b z}, u_{c} \in \overline{a c z}, u_{d} \in \overline{a d z}$ such that $u_{i}$ is between $e_{1 i}$ and $e_{2 i}$ in each main path (Figure 3). Then each $u_{i}$ has its first two coordinates either $(0,1)$ or $(1,0)$. In each eight combinations, at least two vertices have the same two first coordinates. Assume $u_{b}=(0,1, \ldots), u_{c}=(0,1, \ldots)$. Now, let $P$ be a short $\left(u_{b}, u_{c}\right)$-path. Any vertex $v \in P$ has got to have the same first two coordinates, i.e., $v=(0,1, \ldots)$. Then, neither $a$ nor $z$ can be in $P$. This is a contradiction with Claim 4.8. Then, $a$ and $z$ differ in only one coordinate, i.e., $a z \in E(G)$. We can assume from now on that $a z \in E_{4}$.


Figure 3: Claim 4.9: a short $\left(u_{b}, u_{c}\right)$-path cannot pass through a nor $z$.
Claim 4.10. Let $P$ be a main path. Then, $\ell(P)=3$ and $\Theta(P)=\left(E_{i}, E_{4}, E_{i}\right)$, where $E_{i}$ is the $\Theta$-class corresponding to the first edge of $P$ starting from a, i.e., $i \in[3]$.

Proof. $P \cup\{a z\}$ forms a cycle of length 4 or greater. Thus, this cycle has at least two edges in $E_{i}$ and $E_{4}$. The other main paths $Q, R$ already have three edges not contained in $E_{i}$. Then, $\pi_{i}(Q)$ and $\pi_{i}(R)$ do still have length greater than 2. Lemma 4.2 ensures that each $\Theta$-class destroys $H$. Then, since $E_{i}$ destroys $H$, we get $\ell\left(\pi_{i}(P)\right)<2$. Thus, $\ell\left(\pi_{i}(P)\right)=1$ and $\Theta(P)=\left(E_{i}, E_{4}, E_{i}\right)$.
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From Claim 4.10 we get to fully determine $H$. It turns out that $G[H]=H \cup\{a z\}=L$.
Claim 4.11. $\operatorname{dim}(G)=4$.
Proof. Thanks to Lemma 4.4, all $\Theta$-classes have to contain an edge in $G[H]$, but $G[H]=L \subseteq Q_{4}$.
Still, we have not fully determined $V(G)$ and there could be a vertex $v \in V(G) \backslash V(H)$.
Claim 4.12. $V(H)=V(G)$.
Proof. $G$ is a partial cube, then $G$ is connected. If $V(G) \backslash V(H) \neq \emptyset$, then there is a vertex $u \in V(G) \backslash V(H)$, adjacent to some $v \in V(H) \backslash\{a, z\}$. Assume $v=b$. Then either $b u \in E_{2}$ or $b u \in E_{3}$. Assume the first option. $G$ is a partial cube implies $c u \in E(G)$ and $\Theta(c u)=E_{1}$. But that is a contradiction with Claim 4.8. Then $V(G)=V(H)$.

Finally, $V(G)=V(H)$ and $G[H]=L$ imply that $G=L$, which finishes the proof of Lemma 4.6.
Lemma 4.13. Let $G$ be a partial cube with $\operatorname{dim}(G)=n \geq 4$ containing a subdivision of $K_{4}$ such that no pc-minor of $G$ contains a subdivision of $K_{f}$. Then $G=G_{n}$.

Proof. Among all subdivisions of $K_{4}$ in $G$, we choose a subdivision $H$ with the minimum number of vertices. Let $a, b, c, d$ be the original vertices of $K_{4}$. The six edges of $K_{4}$ are called main paths in $H$. Let $e \in E(G[H])$. Then up to symmetry $e$ has to be one of the following types (Figure 4):
(i) $e_{1}=u_{1} v_{1} \in E(H), u_{1}, v_{1} \in\{a, b, c, d\}$ are original vertices.
(ii) $e_{2}=u_{2} v_{2} \in E(H), u_{2} \in\{a, b, c, d\}$ is an original vertex and $v_{2}$ is a subdivision vertex of a main path containing $u_{2}$.
(iii) $e_{3}=u_{3} v_{3} \in E(H), u_{3}, v_{3}$ are two subdivision vertices in the same main path.
(iv) $e_{4}=u_{4} v_{4} \notin E(H), u_{4} \in\{a, b, c, d\}$ is an original vertex and $v_{4}$ is a subdivision vertex of a main path that does not contain $u_{4}$.
(v) $e_{5}=u_{5} v_{5} \notin E(H), u_{5}, v_{5} \in\{a, b, c, d\}$ are original vertices.
(vi) $e_{6}=u_{6} v_{6} \notin E(H), u_{6} \in\{a, b, c, d\}$ is an original vertex and $v_{6}$ is a subdivision vertex of a main path containing $u_{6}$.
(vii) $e_{7}=u_{7} v_{7} \notin E(H), u_{7}, v_{7}$ are two subdivision vertices of the same main path.
(viii) $e_{8}=u_{8} v_{8} \notin E(H), u_{8}, v_{8}$ are two subdivision vertices of two adjacent main paths.
(ix) $e_{9}=u_{9} v_{9} \notin E(H), u_{9}, v_{9}$ are two subdivision vertices of two opposite main paths.


Figure 4: The nine different types of induced edges by $H$. On the left, the edges contained in $H$, on the right, the edges not contained in $H$.

Claim 4．14．Types（v），（vi），（vii），（viii），（ix）edges cannot exist（Figure 5）．
Proof．（v）Assume $e_{5}=a b \notin E(H)$ ．The main path $\overline{a b}$ cannot be a single edge．Thus，$\ell(\overline{a b}) \geq 2$ ．Then， there exists a vertex $w \in \overline{a b}, u \neq a, b$ ．Then，a $K_{4}$－subdivision $H^{\prime}$ is formed with the same original vertices $a$ ， $b, c, d$ and the same main paths but replacing $\overline{a w b}$ for the edge $e_{5}=a b . H^{\prime}$ contains less vertices than $H$ ， contradiction．
（vi）Assume $e_{6}=a u \notin E(H)$ ．$a$ and $u$ are not adjacent in $H$ ．There is a vertex $w \in \overline{a b}$ between $a$ and $u$ ． Then，there is a subdivision $H^{\prime}$ of $K_{4}$ with the same original vertices $a, b, c, d$ and the same main paths but replacing $\overline{a w u b}$ for the path $\{a u\} \cup \overline{u b} . H^{\prime}$ contains less vertices than $H$ ，contradiction．
（vii）Assume $e_{7}=u v \notin E(H), u, v \in \overline{a b}$ ．There exists a vertex $w \in \overline{a b}$ between $u$ and $v$ ．Then there is another subdivision $H^{\prime}$ with the same original vertices $a, b, c, d$ and the same main paths but replacing $\overline{a u w v b}$ for the path $\overline{a u} \cup\{u v\} \cup \overline{v b} . H^{\prime}$ contains less vertices than $H$ ，contradiction．
（viii）Assume $e_{8}=u v \notin E(H), u \in \overline{a b}$ and $v \in \overline{a c}$ are two subdivision vertices．There is a cycle going through $a, u, v$ and at least a fourth vertex $w \in H$（due to $G$ being a partial cube）．Assume $w \in \overline{a u} \subset \overline{a b}$ ． Then there is another subdivision $H^{\prime}$ with original vertices $v, b, c, d$ and the three main paths containing $v$ being：$\overline{v d}, \overline{v a} \cup \overline{a c}, \overline{b u} \cup\{u v\} . H^{\prime}$ contains less vertices than $H$ ，contradiction．
（ix）Even though we can find a subdivision of $K_{4}$ that has less vertices than $H$ ，there is another argument we can do．Assume $e_{9}=u v, u \in \overline{a b}, v \in \overline{c d}$ ．Then，$H \cup\{u v\}=K_{3,3}$ ，where the bipartition is $V\left(K_{3,3}\right)=$ $\{a, b, v\} \cup\{c, d, u\}$ ．That means $G$ is not planar，which is a contradiction to Lemma 4．1．


Figure 5：Representation of cases（v），（vi），（vii），（viii），（ix）．In grey，the subdivisions of $K_{4}$ or $K_{3,3}$ deduced from the hypothesis of each case．

Now we have that $G[H] \backslash H$ can only have edges of type（iv），which are called mixed edges．Edges of type（i）are called original edges and edges of types（ii）and（iii）are called subdivision edges．

Claim 4．15．Let $E_{i}$ be a $\Theta$－class．$E_{i}$ contains an original edge o mixed edge（types（i）o（iv））．
Proof．Thanks to Lemma 4．4，we know $E_{i}[H]:=E_{i} \cap E(G[H]) \neq \emptyset$ ，since $G \in \Omega$ and $H$ is a subdivision of $K_{4}$ ．Assume every edge in $E_{i}[H]$ is type（ii）or（iii），i．e．，they are all subdivision edges．Contract all edges of $E_{i} \backslash E_{i}[H]$（edges in $E_{i}$ not induced by $H$ ）．Lemma 4.3 implies $H=H /\left(E_{i} \backslash E_{i}[H]\right)$ ，i．e．，$H$ is not affected by the contraction of $E_{i} \backslash E_{i}[H]$ ．Now，if we contract $E_{i}[H]$ ，we will have contracted all edges of $E_{i}$ ．Due
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to Lemma 4.2, $\pi_{i}(G)$ will not contain any subdivision of $K_{4}$. However, we are assuming all edges in $E_{i}[H]$ are subdivision edges, i.e., all edges in $E_{i}[H]$ are contained inside the main paths. There cannot be any main path containing only edges in $E_{i}$ (except if the main path is a single edge, but in that case it would be an original edge). Then $\pi_{i}(H)$ still contains the same main paths contracted, but never until being fully contracted. Then, $\pi_{i}(G)$ contains $\pi_{i}(H)$ as a subgraph, which is still a subdivision of $K_{4}$. That is a contradiction which means that $E_{i}$ has to have an original edge or a mixed edge (types (i) and (iv)).
Claim 4.16. G contains at least one mixed edge (type (iv)).
Proof. We proof $G$ cannot have more than three original edges. Since $n:=\operatorname{dim}(G) \geq 4$, there is at least one $\Theta$-class containing mixed edge. Assume $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}$ are $\Theta$-classes each one containing an original edge. Except symmetries, they can only form a $C_{3}, P_{3}$ or $K_{1,3}$ inside $K_{4}$. Let $E_{4}$ be a $\Theta$-class. A fourth original edge in $E_{4}$ would form a $C_{4}$ or a $C_{3}+{ }_{1} P_{1}$ together with the other three. A $C_{4}$ in a partial cube cannot have four different $\Theta$-classes and a $C_{3}+{ }_{1} P_{1}$ has an odd cycle, thus, $E_{4}$ cannot contain an original edge. Then, Claim 4.15 implies that $E_{4}$ necessarily contains a mixed edge. Moreover, $G$ contains at least $n-3$ mixed edges.

Claim 4.17. All mixed edges are incident to the same original vertex.
Proof. Let $e, f \in E(G)$ be two mixed edges incident to two different originals vertices. Assume $e=a u$ and $f=d v, u, v \in V(H)$, being two subdivision vertices. Up to symmetries we have four cases; see Figure 6:
(i) $u, v \in \overline{b c}$.
(ii) $u \in \overline{b d}$ and $v \in \overline{b c}$.
(iii) $u \in \overline{b d}$ and $v \in \overline{a c}$.
(iv) $u \in \overline{b d}$ and $v \in \overline{a b}$.


Figure 6: Cases (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) of Claim 4.17.
In cases (i), (ii), (iii), just like in Figure 5 we can find a subdivision of $K_{4}$ with strictly less vertices than $H$. In case (iv) we can find a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$, contradicting that it is planar by Lemma 4.1. Hence, all mixed edges are incident to the same original vertex.

We can assume all mixed edges are incident to $d$.
Claim 4.18. The main paths $\overline{a d}, \overline{b d}, \overline{c d}$ are indeed original edges, i.e., ad, $b d, c d \in E(H)$.
Proof. Claim 4.16 says there is at least a mixed edge $e \in E(G[H])$. Assume $e=d u, u \in \overline{b c}$. There are three $K_{4}$-subdivisions $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}$ taking as original vertices $\{b, c, d, u\},\{a, c, d, u\},\{a, b, d, u\}$, respectively. $H$ having the minimum number of vertices implies $a d, b d, c d \in E(H)$.

Now we know $H$ contains three original edges and $n-3$ mixed edges. Thus, $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(d)=n$. We still need to know about the outer cycle of $H, Z:=\overline{a b c a}$. From now on, we will not differentiate between the original vertices $a, b, c$ and the other vertices in $Z$ adjacent to $d$ through a mixed edge. We will denote as $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in Z$ the vertices adjacent to $d$ in $G$, ordered consecutively, and $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}$ the $\Theta$-classes of edges $d v_{1}, \ldots, d v_{n}$, respectively. Analogously, we will not differentiate $H$ from any other subdivision of $K_{4}$ taking $d$ and any three vertices $v_{i} \in Z$, since they all have the same number of vertices (minimal, by hypothesis). $\forall i$, let $P_{i}:=\overline{v_{i} v_{i+1}} \subseteq Z$ be the path not containing any other $v_{j}$ (Figure $7(\mathrm{i})$ ).


Figure 7: Summary of the different steps and cases in Claims 4.19 and 4.20.
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Claim 4.19. Long $\left(P_{i}\right)=2$ and $\Theta\left(P_{i}\right)=\left(E_{i+1}, E_{i}\right)$.
Proof. Assume $P_{i}=\left(v_{i}, u_{i 1}, \ldots, u_{i r}, v_{i+1}\right)$. Keeping in mind that $n \geq 4$ and $H$ is minimal in vertices, we can deduce that $v_{i} u_{1} \in E_{i+1}$ and $u_{r} v_{i+1} \in E_{i}$ (Figure 7 (ii)). Using partial cube properties, we deduce that $u_{1}=u_{r}$. Thus, $\ell\left(P_{i}\right)=2$ and $\Theta\left(P_{i}\right)=\left(E_{i+1}, E_{i}\right)$ (Figure 7(iii)).

We deduce from Claim 4.19 that $Z=\left(v_{1}, u_{1}, v_{2}, u_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, u_{n}, v_{1}\right)$ and $G_{n} \subseteq G$. Moreover, we have that $G_{n}=G[H]=H \cup\left\{d v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$.

Claim 4.20. $V(G)=V\left(G_{n}\right)$.

Proof. Assume $w \in V(G) \backslash V(H)$ is adjacent to a vertex $v \in V(H)$. $v$ has to be in $Z$. We have two options:
(i) $v=v_{i} \in Z, 1 \leq i \leq n$.
(ii) $v=u_{i} \in Z, 1 \leq i \leq n$.
(i) Assume $w v_{i} \in E(G)$ and $w v_{i} \in E_{j}$. Note that $j \neq i-1, i, i+1$, and can exist since $n \geq 4$ (if $n=4$, then there is only one option for $j$ ). To complete a square, we must have $w v_{j} \in E(G), w v_{j} \in E_{i}$. Then there is a new $K_{4}$-subdivision with original vertices $d, v_{i}, v_{i+1}, v_{j}$, in which $E_{i-1}$ does not contain any induced edge by $H^{\prime}$. But this cannot happen, as Lemma 4.4 affirms that $E_{i-1}\left[H^{\prime}\right] \neq \emptyset$ (Figure 7(iv)).
(ii) Assume $w u_{i} \in E(G)$, $w u_{i} \in E_{j}$. Note that $j \neq i, i+1$. We split it in two new cases for $j$ :
(a) $j=i-1$ or $j=i+2$, i.e., $v_{j}$ is consecutive to $v_{i}$ or $v_{i+1}$ in $Z$.
(b) $j \neq i-1, i+2$, i.e., $v_{j}$ is not consecutive to $v_{i}$ nor $v_{i+1}$ in $Z$ (cannot happen if $n=4$ ).
(a) By symmetry, assume $j=i+2$. The square $\left\{w, u_{i}, v_{i+1}, u_{i+1}\right\}$ is completed, so we have $w u_{i+1} \in E_{i}$. But note that now $G$ contains a $K_{4}$-subdivision $H^{\prime}$ with original vertices $d, v_{i}, v_{i+2}, v_{k}$, where $k$ can exist since $n \geq 4$ (Figure $7(\mathrm{v})$ ). Note that $\left|H^{\prime}\right|=|H|$ and $E_{i+1}$ does not contain any original or mixed edge in $H^{\prime}$, which contradicts Claim 4.15.
(b) Assume $j \neq i-1, i+2$. The path $\left(w, u_{i}, v_{i+1}, d, v_{j}\right)$ has length 4 and two edges in $E_{j}$. Then a short path $P=\overline{w v_{j}}$ has to have length 2, i.e., $P=\left(w, x, v_{j}\right), x \notin Z$, and $\Theta(P)=\left(E_{i+1}, E_{i}\right)$. This forces the edge $x v_{i}$ to exist and be contained in $E_{j} . v_{1} x$ satisfies case (i) conditions, which we have already seen that it leads to a contradiction (see Figure $7(\mathrm{vi})$ ).

Every case leads to absurdity. Then, there are no edges $v w$ between $v \in Z$ and $w \in V(G) \backslash V\left(G_{n}\right)$. Since $G$ is connected, we get $V(G) \backslash V\left(G_{n}\right)=\emptyset$, i.e., $V(G)=V\left(G_{n}\right)$.

We have that $G\left[G_{n}\right]=G$ and $V\left(G_{n}\right)=V(G)$. Finally we conclude that $G=G_{n}$ (Figure $7($ vii $)$ ), which finishes the proof of Lemma 4.13.

### 4.3 Final results

Theorem 4.21. The excluded pc-minors for outerplanar partial cubes are $L, Q_{3}$, and $G_{n}$ for $n \geq 3$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a non-outerplanar partial cube such that every pc-minor of $G$ is outerplanar. ChartrandHarary [9] prove that non-outerplanar graphs contain $K_{2,3}$ or $K_{4}$ as a minor and it is easy to see that hence they contain a subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ or $K_{4}$. In particular this holds for $G$. By Lemmas 4.5, 4.6, and 4.13 we obtain that any pc-minor minimal non-outerplanar partial cubes must be a member of $\left\{L, Q_{3}, G_{n}, n \geq 3\right\}$. The proof that all elements of $\left\{L, Q_{3}, G_{n}, n \geq 3\right\}$ pc-minor minimal non-outerplanar partial cubes can be found in [26].

Since Lemmas 4.5, 4.6, and 4.13 are very specific concerning the graph that is obtained as a subdivision and series-parallel graphs are exactly those not containing a subdivision of $K_{4}$, see e.g. [8], we get:

Theorem 4.22. The excluded pc-minors for series-parallel partial cubes are $Q_{3}$ and $G_{n}$ for $n \geq 3$.

## 5. Conclusions

The next natural minor-closed class are planar partial cubes, which have been characterized in different ways [1, 14]. Computer experiments show that in isometric dimensions $4,5,6$ there are already $9+61+$ $272=344$ pc-minor-minimal non-planar partial cubes. Considering pc-minor-minimal non-planar partial cubes such that all their isometric subgraphs are planar yields $2+10+34=46$ graphs. Looking only at pc-minor-minimal non-planar median graphs gives $1+4+8=13$ obstructions. Another possible class to attack are apex-outerplanar partial cubes, i.e., graphs that become outerplanar after removing some vertex. This minor-closed class lies between outerplanar and planar graphs, its 57 excluded minors are known; see [15]. For any excluded pc-minor $G$ of outerplanar partial cubes, $G \square K_{2}$ is an excluded pc-minor of apex-outerplanar partial cubes as well as for planar partial cubes, i.e., in both cases the list is infinite.
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