A polyphasic approach to study the dynamics of microbial population of an organic wheat sourdough during its conversion to gluten-free sourdough
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Summary. To develop a method for organic gluten-free (GF) sourdough bread production, a long-term and original wheat sourdough was refreshed with GF flours. The dynamics of the sourdough microbiota during five months of back-slopping were analyzed by classical enumeration and molecular methods, including PCR-temporal temperature gel electrophoresis (PCR-TTGE), multiplex PCR, and pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). The results showed that the yeast counts remained constant, although *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, present in the initial wheat sourdough, was no longer detected in the GF sourdough, while lactic acid bacteria (LAB) counts increased consistently. In the first phase, which was aimed at obtaining a GF sourdough from wheat sourdough, *Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis*, *L. plantarum*, and *L. spicheri* were the main LAB species detected. During the second phase, aimed at maintaining the GF sourdough, the *L. plantarum* and *L. spicheri* populations decreased whereas *L. sanfranciscensis* persisted and *L. sakei* became the predominant species. Multiplex PCRs also revealed the presence of several *L. sakei* strains in the GF sourdough. In a search for the origin of the LAB species, PCR-TTGE was performed on the flour samples but only *L. sanfranciscensis* was detected, suggesting a flour origin for this typical sourdough species. Thus, while replacement of the wheat flour by GF flour influenced the sourdough microbiota, some of the original sourdough LAB and yeast species remained in the GF sourdough. [Int Microbiol 2014; 17(1):1-9]
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Introduction

Celiac disease (CD) is a common inflammatory disease of the small intestine that is triggered by storage proteins from wheat, rye, and barley, and it affects about 1 % of the world’s popu-
of sourdough breads are improved by their enhanced mineral bioavailability and delayed starch retrogradation [24]. Moreover, the LAB present in sourdough act to inhibit some of the microorganisms detrimental to the quality and shelf-life of bread. Consequently, sourdough has been proposed as a natural, low-cost, and efficient technology for GF baking [35,40].

The microbiota of sourdough consists of adapted LAB and yeasts [2,19,12], and is frequently dominated by Lactobacillus curvatus, L. sakei, L. sanfranciscensis, Pediococcus, Leuconostoc, and Weissella [9] and by the yeasts Saccharomyces and Candida [20,29]. Although the microbiota of traditional wheat and rye sourdoughs have been well characterized, little is known about the sourdough from alternative GF cereals or pseudo-cereals [51] or about organic sourdough [39]. GF sourdough is generally prepared by spontaneous fermentation [51], commercial starters [34], or selected strains used as starters [50]. In this study, we asked whether the distinctive features of organic wheat sourdough could be maintained by back-slopping with GF flours, while retaining the initial characteristics and specificity of the sourdough. We therefore examined the dynamics of the LAB and yeast from a GF sourdough inoculated with a traditional and stable wheat sourdough, using both PCR combined with temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-TTGE) and culture-dependent methods, including 16S or 28S rDNA gene sequence analysis. Our aim was to study the population dynamics of the microbiota during its adaptation to a GF sourdough.

Materials and methods

Microbial strains, media, and growth conditions. The type strains Lactobacillus curvatus CIP 102992, L. paraplanthorum CNRZ 1885, L. pentosus ATCC 8041, L. plantarum ATCC 14917, L. sanfranciscensis CIP 103252, L. spiceri CIP 106851, L. sakei ATCC 15521 and the strains L. sanfranciscensis ATCC 43332, and L. sakei 23K [4] were used as controls. L. sanfranciscensis BF and several strains of L. sakei were isolated in this study. LAB were cultured in modified de Man Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) medium [8], MRS4, in which the MRS medium was supplemented with maltose (1 % w/v), fructose (0.5 % w/v), cysteine (0.05 % w/v), and fresh yeast extract (1 % v/v) [25]. The strains were incubated at 30 °C for 48 h under anaerobic conditions (Anaerocult A, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

The described strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Candida humilis were isolated in this study using malt extract agar (BioKar, Beauvais, France) containing chloramphenicol (0.5 g/l) and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h. For liquid cultures, yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD) broth was used. The cultures were incubated under aeration overnight at 28 °C.

For the determination of cell counts in sourdough, 10 g of fresh sourdough was mixed with 90 ml of sterile tryptone salt (TS) solution (0.85 % (w/v) NaCl; 0.1 % tryptone (w/v)) and homogenized for 2 min (Stomacher, AES Laboratories, France). Serial 10-fold dilutions were then plated in triplicate on MRS4 agar and malt extract agar to determine LAB and yeast counts, respectively. After incubation, an average of 20 colonies per sourdough sample were randomly selected. The cultures were further purified and stored at −80 °C in MRS4 medium with added glycerol (20 %). Yeasts were stored at −80 °C in malt extract medium with added glycerol (20 %).

Sourdough fermentation and sampling. An organic wheat sourdough (type I) more than 30 years old was used to prepare an organic GF sourdough by successive back-slopping in a GF environment. Fermentations were carried out with organic GF flours, blending rice (40 %), whole meal rice (40 %), and buckwheat (20 %) flours with water. The dough yield, defined as the amount of dough obtained from 100 g of flour, was 245. The flours came from two different batches provided by the same supplier. After 12 h of incubation at 25 °C, back-slopping was performed with 25 % of the ripe dough. Theoretically, according to dilution rules, the sourdough had to be refreshed this way six times to become gluten-free. After the dilution step (refreshment steps R0–R8), in which a wheat sourdough was converted to a GF sourdough, maintenance was carried out by back-slopping the sourdough every 12 h during several months under the same conditions. During R0 to R8, samples were drawn from the ripe sourdough every 12 h. From R8 to R328, samples were drawn from the ripe sourdough about every 2 weeks.

Physicochemical analyses. Ten grams of bread or sourdough were mixed with 90 ml of TS solution in a Stomacher for 2 min. Ten ml of the mixture was then homogenized and the pH and total titratable acidity (TTA) were measured with an automatic titrator (pH-Matic 23, Grosseron, Saint-Herblain, France). TTA was expressed as the volume (ml) of 0.1 N NaOH required to adjust the solution to a pH of 8.5. For α- and δ-lactic and acetic acid measurements, 10 ml of the homogenized mixture was centrifuged (8,500 rpm, 10 min) at room temperature (Beckman Coulter Genomics, Takeley, Essex, UK) and the supernatant was assayed using the Enzytec kit (Grosseron, Saint Herblain, France), as described in the instruction manual, and by measuring the absorbance at 340 nm (Genesys 10, Grosseron, Saint Herblain, France). Acid amounts were expressed as g/kg of sourdough or bread.

DNA extraction. Bacterial chromosomal DNA was extracted either from the cell pellet of cultures grown in 5 ml of MRS4 or directly from flours and sourdoughs. DNA purification was carried out using a Qiagen DNAeasy blood and tissue kit, as described in the instruction manual (Qiagen, SA, Courtaboeuf, France). DNA was extracted from sourdough or flour samples (30 g) as described previously [21].

To extract yeast chromosomal DNA, cells from 3-ml cultures were collected by centrifugation and washed once with 50 mM EDTA, pH 8.0. The collected cells were then broken by vortexing the suspension with 300 mg of glass beads for 3 min at maximum speed in 0.2 ml of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1 % SDS, and 2 % Triton X100) with 0.2 ml of phenol. After ethanol precipitation, the DNA was suspended in 50 μl TE and treated with 200 mg RNase (Roche, Meylan, France/ml) for 30 min at 37 °C [36]. DNA suspensions were stored at −20 °C.

PCR-TTGE. For PCR-TTGE, primers V3P2 and V3P3-GC-Clamp were used to amplify the V3 region of 16S rDNA as previously described [21]. Amplicons were analyzed on a 1.5 % agarose gel and visualized by UV illumination. The PCR products were subjected to TTGE analysis as described previously [21]. After the run, the gels were stained for 30 min in 300 ml of SYBR Safe (Invitrogen, Villebon-sur-Yvette, France). The PCR products were subjected to TTGE analysis as described previously [21]. After the run, the gels were stained for 30 min in 300 ml of SYBR Safe 1X, rinsed in Milli-Q water, and visualized by UV illumination.

LAB and yeast identification by 16S and 28S rDNA sequencing. The 16S rDNA (about 1,500 bp) of pure LAB isolates was PCR-amplified using fD1 and rD1 primers [54], as described previously [21]. The amplification was checked by electrophoresis. The partial nucleotide sequen-
The initial population density of yeast in the wheat sourdough was $3.6 \pm 1.2 \times 10^7$ CFU/g (Table 2). Enumeration revealed that two-thirds of the yeast colonies were translucent and rather smooth, while the remaining one-third of the colonies were white, with a mucous appearance. 28S rDNA sequence identification of isolates from these two morphotypes showed that the first type consisted of *C. humilis* and the second type of *S. cerevisiae*. During back-slopping of the dilution step, the total yeast counts increased, from $3.6 \times 10^7$ to $6.7 \times 10^7$ CFU/g (Table 2), but the proportion of *S. cerevisiae* decreased such that *C. humilis* became the major species.

The initial population density of LAB was $1.3 \pm 0.6 \times 10^8$ CFU/g. Twenty-two isolates were selected from the MRS4 plates and identified by 16S rDNA sequence analysis, resulting in the identification of *L. sanfranciscensis* (n = 10), *L. plantarum* (n = 5), and *L. spicheri* (n = 7) as the predominant LAB species in the initial wheat sourdough. During back-slopping of the dilution step, LAB counts gradually increased, from $1.3 \times 10^8$ to $7.4 \times 10^8$ CFU/g (Table 2).

The 16S-based identification of the colonies showed that *L. sanfranciscensis*, *L. plantarum*, and *L. spicheri* persisted but their proportions fluctuated during the dilution step. Therefore, the PCR-TTGE approach was used to compare structural changes in the microbial communities and to monitor the dynamics of the bacterial population. To analyze the TTGE patterns and to detect the presence of bacterial species, fingerprints of the DNA samples obtained from sourdough after each refreshment (12 h) were compared with those of the reference strains. *L. sanfranciscensis* BF, a strain isolated from the sourdough at R76 and identified by 16S rDNA sequencing, was used as a control (Fig. 1).

The results showed that the DNA profiles remained stable during the dilution step (R0–R8) whereas compared with the control strain, *L. spicheri* and *L. plantarum* were not detected by PCR-TTGE even though they had been identified by the culture-dependent method. The profile of each sourdough sample was similar to that of *L. sanfranciscensis* BF but different from the profiles of the *L. sanfranciscensis* reference strains. Thus, by this approach, only *L. sanfranciscensis* was detected in the sourdough. The PFGE profiles of *L. sanfranciscensis* BF were compared with those of *L. sanfranciscensis* ATCC 43332 and *L. sanfranciscensis* CIP 103252 [38] to assess the genetic diversity of this sourdough isolate. Different genetic patterns were obtained for these three strains (data not shown), indicating that strain *L. sanfranciscensis* BF indeed differed from the reference strains, which may also explain its different PCR-TTGE pattern.
Characteristics of the organic GF sourdough and the dynamics of its microbiota during the maintenance step. The second step, i.e., maintenance of the sourdough on a GF formulation, lasted 5 months, with regular refreshments twice a day. Samples were drawn from the ripe sourdough about every 2 weeks. The fermentation characteristics of the sourdough are summarized in Table 1. From R76 to R328, the pH remained stable and similar to that of the initial sourdough but the TTA decreased. The concentration of \( l^{-} + d^{-}\) lactic acid increased whereas that of acetic acid decreased slightly, leading to a detectable increase in the fermentation quotient. The addition of GF sourdough to the bread formulation increased the fermentation quotient (data not shown), which positively affects the sensorial properties and shelf-life of sourdough bread [44].

As shown in Table 2, the total yeast population decreased slightly, from a maximum of \( 8.7 \times 10^{7}\) to \( 5.9 \times 10^{7}\) CFU/g. At the end, \textit{Candida humilis} was the only species detected. The LAB counts remained stable during the maintenance step, at \( 1.0 \times 10^{7}\) CFU/g, but were about 1 log higher than the counts in the initial wheat sourdough. 16S rDNA identification of the LAB isolates showed that \textit{L. sanfranciscensis}, \textit{L. plantarum}, and \textit{L. spicheri} were present until R76. \textit{L. sakei} became established beginning at R184 and persisted until R328. Therefore, PCR-TTGE was used to confirm the presence of \textit{L. sakei} and to verify the presence or absence of the other bacterial

### Table 1. Organic acids, pH, and total titratable acidity (TTA) in the ripe sourdough at the end of the fermentation step (T0), at the end of the dilution step, and three times during the maintenance step

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( l^{-} + d^{-}) lactic acid ( g / kg )</th>
<th>[acetic acid] ( g / kg )</th>
<th>FQ\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>pH\textsuperscript{d}</th>
<th>TTA\textsuperscript{b,c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial sourdough</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>16.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourdough R7</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.00</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourdough R76</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>14.0 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourdough R114</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourdough R198</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.01</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourdough R328</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Fermentative quotient: \( [lactic \text{ acid}] / [acetic \text{ acid}] \).
\textsuperscript{b}TTA (ml 0.1 N NaOH / 10 g sourdough).
\textsuperscript{c}Three independent measurements were performed on each sample for both analyses. The results are presented as mean ± SD.

### Table 2. Microbial sourdough populations of the lactic acid bacteria (\textit{Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis} [Lsan] and \textit{L. spicheri} [Lspi], \textit{L. plantarum} [Lpla] and \textit{L. sakei} [Lsak]) and yeasts (\textit{Candida humilis} [Chum] and \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} [Scer]) during refreshment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LAB count\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Lsan\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Lspi\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Lpla\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Lsak\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Yeast count\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Chum\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Scer\textsuperscript{b}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R0 (wheat SD)</td>
<td>( 1.3 \times 10^{8} )</td>
<td>45 (n = 10)</td>
<td>32 (n = 7)</td>
<td>23 (n = 5)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>( 3.6 \times 10^{7} )</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 (day 0)</td>
<td>( 1.1 \times 10^{8} )</td>
<td>53 (n = 11)</td>
<td>47 (n = 9)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>( 4.5 \times 10^{7} )</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4 (d2)</td>
<td>( 2.4 \times 10^{8} )</td>
<td>33 (n = 7)</td>
<td>50 (n = 10)</td>
<td>17 (n = 4)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>( 5.1 \times 10^{7} )</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R8 (d4)</td>
<td>( 7.4 \times 10^{8} )</td>
<td>29 (n = 6)</td>
<td>71 (n = 14)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>( 6.7 \times 10^{7} )</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R58 (d29)</td>
<td>( 1.0 \times 10^{8} )</td>
<td>78 (n = 17)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>22 (n = 5)</td>
<td>( 8.7 \times 10^{7} )</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R76 (d38)</td>
<td>( 5.3 \times 10^{8} )</td>
<td>42 (n = 7)</td>
<td>16 (n = 3)</td>
<td>42 (n = 7)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>( 7.6 \times 10^{7} )</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R184 (d92)</td>
<td>( 8.1 \times 10^{8} )</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>( 100 ) (n = 19)</td>
<td>( 6.0 \times 10^{7} )</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R198 (d99)</td>
<td>( 1.0 \times 10^{8} )</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>( 100 ) (n = 22)</td>
<td>( 5.9 \times 10^{7} )</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: Not detected.
\textsuperscript{a}Expressed as CFU/g
\textsuperscript{b}Expressed as a percentage. The number of bacterial isolates identified are shown in parenthesis.
species. Figure 1 shows the fingerprints of the DNA samples of sourdough after each refreshment compared with those of the reference strains. The DNA of the type strain *L. sakei* ATCC 15521 was added as a control and its profile was compared with that of the *L. sakei* isolates in the GF sourdough. All *L. sakei* PCR-TTGE profiles were similar (data not shown).

The PCR-TTGE profiles in Fig. 2 showed that the microbiota of the sourdough evolved during maintenance. After remaining stable, with identical profiles (R30–R114), a major band appeared beginning at R184 (92 days; band d, R184). By comparing band migration positions, band d was assigned to *L. sakei*, as subsequently confirmed by cloning and sequencing. The presence of this band correlated with the culture-dependent detection of *L. sakei*. In addition, PCR-TTGE highlighted the stability of *L. sanfranciscensis* in the GF sourdough, as evidenced by a pattern similar to that of *L. sanfranciscensis* BF, which was observed throughout the refreshments, except at R184 and R198. This species was not identified at the latter two steps by culture-dependent methods. Finally, beginning at R266 (133 days) onwards, the sourdough became stable (Fig. 2).

**Detection of bacterial species in organic flours by PCR-TTGE.** Analysis by PCR-TTGE of the flours used at the beginning and end of back-slopping revealed, in wholemeal rice and rice flours, a single major band and many minor bands, whereas in the buckwheat flours only one major band was detected (Fig. 2). For each flour, there were few differences between the two batches tested. Band a was identified as rice chloroplast and band b as *L. sanfranciscensis*, although its migration differed from that of *L. sanfranciscensis* BF. Since the other bands were very weak, they were not cloned for sequencing and identification. Thus, while the results indicated the presence of *L. sanfranciscensis* in the rice flour, no such evidence was available for *L. sakei*, as neither the sequences nor the migration patterns of bands from the flour samples were similar to those of known *L. sakei* isolates.

**Diversity of *Lactobacillus sakei* in organic GF sourdough.** The appearance and maintenance of *L. sakei* observed by the plating method and PCR-TTGE raised the question of the nature of this population and its implantation. As this species has already been described, in both sourdough [33] and in rice [23,41], we wondered whether one or several strains had derived from the bread flours and flourished in the GF sourdough. Taking advantage of our ability to discriminate among *L. sakei* isolates [5,28], the nature of the *L. sakei* population in the sourdough after R184 was examined. Multiplex PCR targeting several gene markers was used to determine the diversity of ten *L. sakei* isolates from R184 and R198. Based on markers described previously [5] a first subset of six gene markers (DrsB, FGP21-0001, FGP332-0009, FGP332-0010, LSA0727, and SspA) was used to assign the genotype clusters of the isolates and a second subset of another six gene markers (LSA0219_b, FGP332-0001, FGP332-0002, FGP332-0007, and FGP332-0012) to assess the strain level. The results showed that all isolates of *L. sakei* belonged to the same clus-
ter as that of *L. sakei* ATCC 15521, the type strain previously isolated from spoiled rice alcohol [23]. This group is characterized by the presence of the FGP21-0001 marker, which encompasses “non-meat” isolates [5]. Three different profiles were defined, indicating that the *L. sakei* population that arose on GF sourdough after R184 was composed of at least three different strains.

**Discussion**

This study describes the evolution of the microbiota in an organic GF sourdough derived from an organic wheat sourdough. In the initial long-term wheat sourdough, *C. humilis* was the main species although *S. cerevisiae* was also identified. The results confirm those of a previous study of this wheat sourdough (B. Onno, unpublished), and they are in agreement with previous investigations of sourdough yeasts [9]. In particular, *S. cerevisiae* and *C. humilis* were shown to be associated with LAB in type I sourdoughs [9,17,48]. However, in our study, after back-slopping with rice and buckwheat flours, *C. humilis* was the only yeast species present. Few studies have examined the yeasts in GF sourdough. In a previous study of the development of a commercial starter for the production of GF bread, yeast could not be isolated from the sourdough containing buckwheat [34]. In our sourdough, we recorded a decrease in the *S. cerevisiae* population although this species has been previously described as competitive and as the only species present in rice sourdough [30].

By contrast, *L. sanfranciscensis*, *L. plantarum* and *L. spicheri*, the *Lactobacillus* species most frequently isolated from sourdough [7,11,30,53], were detected in the initial wheat sourdough but were not specific for it. Remarkably, the presence of *L. sanfranciscensis* and *L. spicheri* in this particular wheat sourdough has been reported in earlier studies [14,47], which confirms the long-term stability of these strains.

After back-slopping with GF flours, *Lactobacillus* species could no longer be identified by the culture-dependent methods. However, *L. sanfranciscensis* was still identified by PCR-TTGE. The drop in the acetic acids concentration in the sourdough (Table 2) can be attributed to the implantation of homofermentative *L. sakei*. Although *L. spicheri* has been
described as a competitive LAB in rice sourdoughs [30], in our sourdough its presence gradually decreased until, finally, it was undetectable by culture-dependent and -indepenedent methods. In a previous study [51], L. spicheri has not predominated in rice sourdough. L. sakei, described as a meat-associated bacterium [4,6], is also less frequently found in traditional sourdough. However, this species has been isolated from wheat sourdough [47]; it has been described as the dominant species of spontaneously fermented amaranth [45] and spontaneously fermented buckwheat [33] sourdoughs. In our study, the type and quality of the substrate as well as the microbial interactions during fermentation might have influenced the microbial populations of the sourdoughs. It is known that the type of flour, the sourdough-generating process, and other related factors strongly influence the composition of sourdough microbiota [10,31]. In addition, the species of wheat flour (Triticum durum or T. aestivum) may play a key role in both selecting the LAB population and determining the concentration of nutrients required by the microorganisms dominating the sourdough ecosystem [32]. Our study confirms that the nature of the cereals used might influence the competitiveness and interactions of LAB and yeasts in sourdough.

The short-term adaptation of LAB and yeasts to sourdough prepared from cereals, pseudocereals, and cassava has been examined, but the dynamics of adaptation have not been reported [51]. Nevertheless, the authors observed the establishment of a stable sourdough after 13 and 12 days for rice and buckwheat sourdoughs, respectively. In our sourdough, the profile for refreshment after 15 days (R30) was similar to those of previous refreshments, except for the detection of L. sakei at R114 (57 days). It might therefore be the case that modifications in the microbiota were detected only after a long period of time.

To our knowledge, ours is the first study to investigate the adaptability of sourdough refreshed with new flours and the long-term evolution of this sourdough. In earlier studies [30,31,34,35,49,50,53], only short-term (about 10–15 days) monitoring has been carried out, when the stability of the examined sourdoughs seemed to have been established. Other studies have analyzed sourdough evolution over several years but with either no or few changes in the process [39,42]. In the present study, specific LAB strains, such as L. sanfranciscensis BF, persisted in the GF sourdough. These LAB might have originated from the wheat sourdough or from the bakery environment, and their persistence might have been the result of consistent fermentation variables (temperature, time), which ultimately led to the selection of LAB strains best adapted to the applied process conditions.

To establish a link between the flours used in sourdough generation and the species found in the sourdough, we searched for bacteria in the flours used. Although L. sanfranciscensis is considered a key sourdough LAB [16], its origin has not been clearly established. Contrary to most sourdough lactobacilli, which are also inhabitants of other ecosystems (e.g., human and animal intestines), no other habitats are currently known for L. sanfranciscensis [18,52]. However, unlike some species, the presence of L. sanfranciscensis in flours has yet to be clearly shown [52]. In our case, L. sanfranciscensis was detected in rice flour by PCR-TTGE but not by the culture-dependent method of identification. Thus, this species either was non-cultivable under the chosen conditions or, most likely, was subdominant. Further investigations, using different growth conditions (media, temperature, and atmosphere) and quantitative-PCR will be necessary to confirm the presence of this species in rice flour.

The origin of L. sakei, a meat-associated bacterium, in cereals has not been researched, although the bacterium has been isolated from sourdough [33,45,47] and from other vegetable substrates such as kimchi [22]. The analysis of ten L. sakei isolates showed their close relationship and that they grouped with L. sakei ATCC 15521, which has been isolated from rice wine [23]. At least three different strains were isolated from our GF sourdough. Since all L. sakei isolates originating from sourdough sources are genetically closely related [5], the adaptation of these strains to the sourdough ecosystem might be readily studied by searching for specific enzymatic activities, such as maltose utilization.

In our polyphasic approach, only L. sanfranciscensis was detected by PCR-TTGE, a non-culture-dependent method. Under our experimental conditions, in which the sourdough being back-slopped was kept in the same facility where a conventional sourdough was maintained, the detection of L. sanfranciscensis only by the culture-independent method could indicate that this strain was an environmental contaminant. A possible explanation could be that the specific nutritional requirements of that strain were not provided by the chosen medium [19]. Moreover, the PCR-TTGE seemed to yield a specific profile for strain L. sanfranciscensis BF. Since the isolation method and PCR-TTGE are subject to different biases, our study confirms the need to simultaneously use culture-dependent and independent methods to study the microbial diversity of the food fermentation process.

Further investigations, including a metagenomic approach, will likely provide a wealth of information of the microbiota of sourdough, including identification of the relevant microorganisms, their genetic content, and the metabolic and
functional potential of the microbial communities to which they belong [22].
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