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Abstract

The first part of this article presents the literary output on the subject of the Spanish Civil War written during the war and immediately after it, between 1936 and 1939. What stand out from this time are brief, non-fictional narrative forms which were often meant for the press, such as chronicles from both the battlefront (where Pere Calders excels) and the home front. Among the fictional genres, short stories prevailed during the war, while the novel, a genre which requires the slow assimilation of experience, found its maximum expression in the post-war period in two canonical works by Joan Sales and Mercè Rodoreda, which shall be analysed in the second part of this article.
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Narrating the war during the war

The early 1930s had been a fertile period for Catalan prose in its different genres, particularly fiction. Critics’ and publishers’ opinions about the need to expand the reading public through attractive products, in an effort to consolidate a stable literary market, primarily affected the novel and the short story. In effect, the blossoming of these two genres reflects both the variety of the publishers’ output, as they had stepped up projects and collections, and the fact that the works being published encompassed everything from in-depth examinations of certain prevailing trends in the European post-war period, like psychology, to the most obscure avant-garde experimentation. This broad range continued in the war years, as is reflected, for example, in the authors who were awarded the prestigious Crexells Prize for the novel (granted by the Generalitat de Catalunya) and the modern, appealing catalogues of translations of the collections spearheaded by the publisher Josep Janés i Oliver. Thus, some authors continued to construct their oeuvre within the parameters already existent during the 1920s, while other younger ones joined these already established currents, either bringing new developments or in some cases adapting their subject matter to the historical circumstances.

In fact, in the context of the debate on intellectuals’ ties with their society, and specifically the reality of the deeds that occurred in July 1936, for the majority of working writers the act of writing “about the war” was only part of the commitment to write (tout court) which they had as professionals with the society to which they belonged. At that historical juncture, characterised by the clash between reason and barbarism, and in the circumstance of a threatened culture, writing was a fundamental part of a civic commitment which actually encompassed many other factors that played a part in achieving and continuing Catalan cultural life until the defeat in 1939. In 1938, the authoritative voice of poet Carles Riba linked the “trade” of writing to the “duty” to write in the article “Literatura i grups salvadors” (Literature and Saviour Groups) that appeared in the most prestigious of the cultural publications, the Revista de Catalunya. In this article, he conferred a value on literary creation that was not circumstantial yet that was simultaneously not detached from historical purpose: “seeing that it has to do with politics, yet according to a general, supreme scheme of saving the fatherland.”

In parallel, another writer in quite a different vein, Joan Oliver, warned about the dangers of impromptu literature or pamphleteering in the pages of the Marxist-leaning weekly Meridià. He stated that writers’ service to society would be more effective the better they accomplish their first duty, which is to produce “good literature, artistic works, which save time and space and ennable the name and memory of a land, and set a national personality in the assembly of great peoples. This, and nothing else, should be the ambition of a writer at the service of his fatherland and his language.” However, he also adds:
Likewise, literary endeavours are always necessarily slow work, one of purification and sedimentation, of picking and choosing [...]. Some of our best literary cultivators have begun to work on what will become the first fruits of their observation and talent applied to the vast phenomenon of the revolution and war. And their work will most likely not be, as some ill-intentioned and other ingenuous people believe, a dithyrambic ode to the destructive force of a people provoked by their own oppressors, nor demagogic praise of the earliest proof of a more equitable division of wealth, nor an unconditionally favourable picture of those months of bloody justice. All of these deeds will surely be the subject of their literary speculations, but all of it will be transformed into artistic fodder, into human values with no degrading concessions to partisan politics, extracting from that piece of pulsating history part of the immense ethical and aesthetic wealth it contains.6

Indeed, the war as a “subject of literary speculation” is present within the different genres, but it is interesting to consider the fact that within the historical context, the most immediate referent for prose was the war of 1914-1918,7 and the dialectic between immediacy and distance is not the least important of the reflections proffered by the critics. The distinction between written production “as the deeds are taking place” and production after the fact affects not only pragmatic issues, like time and the peace and quiet needed to write a novel (a condition which Oliver also mentions), or the limitations imposed by ideological censorship, which is inevitable in non-imaginative prose though not negligible in fictitious prose either (if only to avoid demoralisation among the civilian population), or in parallel, the military censorship of the most documentary genres targeted at the press. Rather, coevality also affects issues related to the adoption of a certain way of writing, the predominance of certain genres over others (brief news items, which develop material for periodicals) and the fluidity of the genre boundaries that characterise certain literary typologies which often hover somewhere between journalism and fiction.

Precisely with regard to the kinds of genres, the critics seem to agree that the subject of war written during a war requires brief, non-fictional narrative forms. Thus, celebrated writers and others emerge within the historical period write chronicles from the battlefront, impressions and accounts of the campaign, anecdotes from the home front and many other kinds of texts. Furthermore, these genres are promoted by institutions and magazines:

Catalan soldier from the Republican army: the pages of this periodical are open to your contributions [...] send us narrations of war episodes you have experienced, or anecdotes of events that have happened to you or your mates.8

They are also recognised by critics as a subsidiary form of document for the novel of the future, especially because of their direct link to reality, justified through appeals to direct experience (which is therefore regarded as “authentic”) and real testimony (which is therefore regarded as “true”). In effect, these genres, inspired by the narrative models from World War I,9 sprang from the desire for attention to the current events and the testimonial desire to directly showcase the events and the impression or moral reflection derived from them. Therefore, they serve suggestion and immediate perception, momentary jottings on the ground more than the composition of elements, invention or re-creation inherent to realistic fiction. In short, they put a premium on the “truthful” over the “plausible”, sometimes to the detriment of literature. The writer Lluís Montanyà’s call to militiamen is quite explicit:

In these accounts, the author does not have to attempt any kind of literature. Personal impressions are enough [...]. Militiamen, soldiers: write your own “Campaign Diary”.10

There is also widespread consensus as to the value of direct experience in relation to the “little story”, the kind that, unlike “great stories”, philosophical treatises or heroic poetry, tries to provide an intimate, personal sense, the “human” side of war,11 despite the provisional nature – or precisely because of it – that people attribute to this kind of narrative, “bottomless quarry from which the novelists of tomorrow will forge their war novels”.12 Therefore, it serves as a guarantee which, in the case of the European war, will ensure subsequent novels or document historiography. The latter is an attribute that can even be detected in institutional requests:

On the initiative of the Institution of Catalan Letters, the Catalan writers who have been working at the front should compile and organise their war notes, their daily accounts, materials which, after being duly filtered, shall be published and shall constitute an enormously valuable document for the historic study of the war.13

Regardless, in those years what proliferated were chronicles written on campaigns, mainly targeted at periodicals. The product of a documentary reporting intention that lends itself to a variety of formal interpretations, the literary result is more protean, particularly because it is subsidiary to different models of prose and journalism. Thus, this “literature of experience” ranges from impressionistic jottings or reflective meditations (characteristic, for example, of the chronicles of writer Josep Sol for Meridà) to dispatches by newspaper correspondents (the ones by Joaquim Grau for La Publicitat or by J. Morera Falcó for Treball); from the scene experienced directly and episodic description of atmospheres or archetypical characters to the campaign account; from the simple “war anecdote” stripped of all literary intentions and at times
almost joke-like, to narrative forms whose conception or provenance brings them closer to a story. The different headings that introduce the literary sections in the press (“Chronicles from the Front”, “From my War Card”, “Narrations by Combatants”, “Anecdotes of the Fight”, etc.) do not allow not many genre distinctions to be made in this entire output beyond its characteristic hybrid nature. However, there are attempts to distinguish the genres in the announcements of competitions: the magazine *Amic*, published by the Culture Services at the Front, offered a prize for “the best narration of a war deed in the Republican army, preferably experienced by the narrator”, and another for “the best war anecdote, preferably told by one of its participants”. The weekly *Juliol*, published by the JSU, the youth section of the PSUC (Unified Socialist Party of Catalonia), distinguished between the prizes for “war chronicles and tales” and “war stories”.

However, very rarely did this kind of journalistic prose appear, during the period and in Catalonia, in the guise of a volume with the explicit intention of narrative unity. Nor, as far as I know, has it ever appeared as a compilation of several authors, such as the one assembled by Marcel Acier from the chronicle letters written by the combatants in the International Brigades, published in 1938 with the title of *Des de les trinxeres espanyoles* (From the Spanish Trenches). However, books like *Diari de guerra* (War Diary, 1937) by writer Lluís Capdevila and *Impressions de viatge*. *Catalans a Madrid* (Travel Impressions: Catalans in Madrid, 1938) by politician Jaume Miravitlles compile writings – some of them previously published in the Barcelona press – with a certain desire for unitary compilation, although they do not in fact successfully manage to avoid fragmentation. However, this is a procedure shared by foreign correspondents such as Jean Allocherie, who compiled the reports written for the Parisian newspaper *L’Humanité* in *Nits de Sevilla* (Nights in Seville, translated in 1937 by Joaquim Vilà i Bisa).

Furthermore, the publishing projects on war themes spearheaded by the *Institut de les Lletres Catalanes* (Institution of Catalan Letters) were clearly divided into a novel collection and another “War Documents” collection, which was supposed to compile the output of soldier-writers: “works that must be simple campaign accounts, firsthand testimony about life at the front, things seen or gathered, written with simplicity and absolute sincerity and without any intention to fictionalise them”. But even though this invitation was taken up by some (and the texts published in the press), including the aforementioned Josep Sol (in *Meridà* from the Eastern front) and Gifré Bosch (writing from the Aragon front in the “War Notes” section that regularly appeared in *La Publicitat*), or by others who, like Lluís Ferran de Pol, initiated projects (*Dictari de l’Èbre*, July 1938) that were later revised, none of them was actually published in a volume. Therefore, Pere Calders’ *Unitats de xoc* (Shock Troops), written and submitted in instalments but published as a single book, is the first and only volume in the collection. In twelve consecutive episodes, the author (as a narrator-character) recounts his experiences from when he leaves Barcelona until he reaches the battlefront. He mixes campaign feats, events and anecdotes with impressions and reflections inspired by everything he was experiencing. The combination of these elements, the naturalness of the language, the firsthand perspective (far from the 19th century staging of “war theatre”) related to the sensitive notes by Enric Clusesel that illustrate the volume, supply a story that back in its day was regarded as a model and the most plausible way of writing about the war during the war.

For this reason, critic Rafael Tasis can claim that *Unitats de xoc* is “the kind of war literature that we have the right to ask of our soldier-writers today”. The critic deems that this book, along with the insightful *Vie des martyrs* (1917) by Georges Duhamel or the friendlier André Maurois book *Les sables du Colonel Bramble* (1918), translated into Catalan in 1930 by historian Ferran Soldevila, is a personal narration in a minor tone:

A series of brief notes, without emphasis yet backed by authentic emotion. Everything our war is and everything it represents is reflected in *Unitats de xoc*, the diary of a modest soldier who fights with full awareness of the intrinsic horror of war, yet also with the infinite pride of contributing with his toil and, if necessary, with his life, to earning his country’s freedom and winning this same freedom for the world that watches us indifferently. Right now, the book by Pere Calders is the best representation of Catalan war literature.

It is indeed “brief notes, without emphasis”. If we have to talk about the attitudes and treatment of war literature, there are many writers who feel mistrustful of the poetics of the “deliri bèl·lic” (war craze), who reject the romantic tradition of “ampul·loses descripcions” (bombastic descriptions) or “l’exaltació d’heroïsmes” (the glorification of heroics) in the words of Ramon Xiriguera, who had written from Paris before the war, referring to the bulk of the French tradition (unlike the ones cited by Tasis). And yet conversely, in the 1930s we can witness a certain privity for products in a realistic, protesting and/or pacifistic vein (Remarque, Glaeser, Barbusse), which are also the ones with the most repercussions, in Catalonia as well, and the ones at the root of the modern narrative on the topic developed after World War II. This is how the 1938 book by Pere Calders, *Unitats de xoc*, which envisions war as a devastating deed to which man contributes if not through conviction as to its hypothetical virtues, so popular in the late 19th century literature, than through the pure needed to defend himself, can also be read as a call against war seen from pacifistic, civilians eyes that are equally or more anti-militaristic than Barbusse’s in *Le Feu*.

All of this together makes Calders’ story, which revolves around standard archetypes in war literature, such as the vagaries of life at the front over the backdrop of a
military operation (the Republican forces’ occupation of Teruel in late 1937), stand out as one of a kind, characterised by the anti-epic register or civilian vantage point which forestalls the usual confusion between the legitimacy of the forced defence and the intrinsic values of the “warrior” attitude, more or less tinged with the military spirit. The social acceptance (regarding not the war but the codes of behaviour in civilian life) of the values of “honour” or “glory” (served up seldom and subtly) had been parodied (and their “conventional” origins explained) shortly before by the same author in La glòria del doctor Larén (Doctor Larén’s Glory, 1936), an early declaration on the novelistic poetry that would prevail in his subsequent output within this genre.

Likewise, it seems obvious that Calders had read war authors from 1914, and he himself recounts this in the “Brigada de xoc” (Shock Brigade) episode to reinforce the distinction between “normal” people and “born” heroes, those who “are ready to die in any revolt”, those who join any and all insurgencies or “go wage war on their own initiative”. The fact that a peaceful man with clearly civilians dreams and misgivings about the military idiomscreasy believes himself to be morally obligated to enlist and go to the front should not seem so strange, he indicates, in view of the experience of the past European war, although that one seemed set in remote times and therefore repeating it was “unthinkable”:

It is clear that all philosophies devised around the Great War, and a reading of the war books, should have led us to warn against the simplicity of this way of thinking [the one that has “everyone in their vocation”]. But in fact, despite the fact that each of the war pictures that we see evokes the colour and content of the war settings in 1914 as we imagine them, to us that war was gripped in history and seemed as far away from us, in terms of the chance that it would be repeated, as the slaughters of the Christians or Napoleon’s cavalry treatment of the motif of lice is, in this case, very similar to the one used by Robert Graves in Goodbye to All That (1929), where two soldiers debate the wisdom of killing old or young lice (and decide that it is better to kill the young ones because the old ones can be trapped when they go to the young men’s burial). Regardless of whether this is influence or simply confluence, the fact is that in Unitats de xoc Calders manipulates the clichés of the 1914 wartime narrative to violate the conventional relationships between “catastrophe” and “catharsis”, as he would later do in fictitious narrative, in stories like “Fet d’armes” (Made of Weapons) and “El batalló perdut” (The Lost Battalion). This can also be seen in “La clara consciència” (The Clear Conscience, published in Meridà in 1938), where the author brings the bombardment into the everyday life of the city and uses it as the pretext for debunking the notion of free will versus fate.

Therefore, Calders fits within the current that rejects the rhetoric derived from the epic or saga, given the fact that after the outbreak of barbarism in a world that used to be perceived as civilised, one cannot describe either the catastrophe or the values that uphold it in the traditional way. Yet at the same time, the author uses the archetypes of the heroic and displaces them for a variety of purposes. Calders’ undertaking in Unitats de xoc, that is, retelling a modest experience (of an undistinguished combatant not very well suited to the military life) and reflecting on it (the paradox of having to defend eminently civilian values from the army), totally appeals to the values of the common man. The book, as Carles Riba wrote in the preface, is fully rendered “within the sentiment of elementary human realities”.

As is natural, home front experiences also engender narrations of deeds and scenes experienced: everything from the portraits of stock characters (the ambusher, the provocateur, the negligent one, etc.) with deliberately pedagogical purposes contained in the book by Manuel Valldeperes, Els perills de la rereguarda (The Perils of the Home Front, 1937), to the series of radio broadcasts by the “Home Desconegut” (Unknown Man, who was actually Jaume Elies Bracons) sponsored by the Comissariat de Propaganda (Propaganda Commission). With titles like Crítica de la rereguarda (Criticism of the Home Front, 1937) and Xerrameques (Idle Talk, 1938), this series contained episodes among citizens along the line of 19th century costumbristic humour. In the realm of high pedagogy, however, we should mention the narrations for children (an area very well covered given the period in time), including the sensitive book by illustrator Lola Anglada, El més petit de tots (The Smallest of All of Them), published by the Comissariat de Propaganda in 1937.26
However, literary journalism is where we can best detect the pulse of civilian life, such as in the chronicles in the “L’accent de Barcelona” section of Revista de Catalunya published throughout 1938 by Andreu Avel-lí Artís, which were compiled into a volume years ago,27 and especially the two titles by Cèsar-August Jordana in Meridià: “Simples esplais” (Simple Amusements) and “Monòlegs interiors” (Internal Monologues), also revived not too long ago.28 Tributaries of everyday life and, therefore, chronicles of the most crucial stage in Barcelona’s home front, the former, “Simples esplais” combines observations and reflections which are not bereft of a certain morality (meaning value placed on moral dignity) well-seasoned with humour, about the atmosphere and psychology of a society subjected to material hardships, deprivations and bombardments. The thematic focus on the key points in urban life – the unreliable public transport service caused by blackouts, the lack of food and other common goods and the unease triggered by anti-aircraft alarms – has something of the user’s behaviour manual about it in a city that is caught in “special times” (and the author strives, in the first article, to distinguish this from “normal times”). Just like the humorous register, plays on words, double-entendres and ironic contrasts, this is a tactic aimed at buffering the collective circumstances which are clearly shared by the author and his readers. However, none of this conceals the seriousness of certain situations, although it does avoid the indecorous ascent into the realm of transcendence or banal sensationalism (which was already quite common in the pamphlets) or the inopportune descent into defeatism.

The prose in “Monòlegs interiors” retains many of these procedures, but the range of registers expands considerably. First, there is more ethical reflection on attitudes, and therefore criticism of the gluttons or hoarders, as well as disparagement of escapist, the unaware or deserters run parallel to praise of those who fulfil their duty, especially the anonymous soldiers who fight, suffer and die at the front. However, the humour is also quite corrosive and the irony is biting. On the other hand, Jordana revisits the subject of Spanish and international politics, and just as he had done previously in the newspaper L’Opinió,29 he wages a frontal attack against the mechanisms of power (and the ideologies that perpetuate them) which triggered, consented to and sustained the war. Thus, the civilian and religious power of the instigators is carefully analysed, especially the actions of representatives of the major European powers that are involved in the war on Franco’s side, both those who had a direct hand in it (Hitler, Mussolini) and the “consenters” (the insidious role of the factotums of “Non-Intervention”).

Jordana’s articles in Meridià also have a texture that is a truly innovative and yields some of the most brilliant and combative pieces in all the wartime press. Indeed, as the heading suggests, the “Monòlegs interiors” are an adaptation of one of the narrative conventions of the modern novel to the journalism of his day. Jordana, a novelist and reputed translator, had shown a great deal of interest in this technique of penetrating the consciousness of fictional characters. He had also contributed to its successful and controversial introduction in Catalonia by his divulgation of James Joyce in L’Opinió, and especially by his translation of Virginia Woolf’s Mrs Dalloway for the Proa publishing house issued in 1930, only five years after the English original. At that time, back in the 1920s, neither Dublin nor London were cities at war; the Barcelona of 1938, however, was. Yet the internalisation of the city in those writers was testing a technique that spilled a lot of ink in Catalonia, in a debate parallel to the one on the urban novel, a debate that examined the process of change in the new perceptions of reality.30 In 1938, by painting himself as a flâneur who walked and thought in the middle of a besieged city, the writer was testing a new way of adapting the genre to the model that strove to capture the impact of external deeds on the consciousness: the common man in the city at war expressed himself through stream of consciousness.31

Attention to reality, to the everyday experiences at the battlefront and the home front, also prevails in models of brief fiction, short stories, which tend to examine everyday, recognisable experiences of war (soldiers’ departures, life at the battlefront, the hardships at the home front, bombardments, etc.) and the attitudes and feelings they generated (bravery, loss, sadness, fear, etc.) based on realistic models and through subjects, situations and behaviours (including exemplary behaviour) already the stock in trade of the traditional wartime narrative. However, generally speaking, more attention is paid to the repercussions of the conflict on individual civilian life than to life in the trenches (and there are very few descriptions of firsthand combat). As is natural, the latter is almost always re-created by writer-combatants, like Avel-lí Artís-Gener, Agustí Bartra and Vicenç Riera i Llorca.

With regard to the fiction prose written during the period, short narratives are the most worthy output, as can be seen in a compilation from the 1980s which gathers together vanished texts from the literary journals or culture sections of newspapers and tries to include both the narratives of well-known authors and those of wholly unknown writers who emerged out of that particular point in history.32 The most outstanding examples are the stories published in Meridià, Catalans! and especially Revista de Catalunya. And some of the most important pieces are by Mercè Rodoreda, who was prolific in this genre during the war,33 along with the narrations by C. A. Jordana and Xavier Benguerel. The latter excelled in “Xandri el titelhaver” (Xandri the Puppeteer), which was part of the compilation Tres contes de Guerra (Three War Stories) which won the Narcís Oller Prize in 1938. However, it never managed to be published in Barcelona and instead was included in the volume Sense retorn (No Return) which was issued in Buenos Aires in 1939, one of the first books to be published in exile. Tres a la regegadora (Three at the Home Front) would appear in the same collection (Edicions de la revista Catalunya from Buenos Aires) the fol-
artistic interpretation. The dialogue that pits the former cloistered nun against the prostitute is, for example, hardly lifelike, brimming with outside details that lead it to draw from that revolutionary ingenuousness made of leeches and clichés that has wrought so much damage. They are two highly conventional types, and the dialogue is even more conventional under its apparent crude reality.36

It is clear that before this (because this is an earlier novel, from 1937), the same critic had already warned about the perils of ingenuousness and clichés in his review of Domènec Diumenge’s Per la Pàtria i per la Lliberta (For the Fatherland and for Liberty), when he deemed that this “war novel and report on the revolution (as the author subtitled the novel) obviously is neither [...] altogether it reveals an overwhelming moralising and patriotic intention, but not a talent for novel-writing”.37

However, perhaps the genre would have had a different fate if the novels that the Institució de les Lletres Catalanes had commissioned several of the most skilful authors to write had come to fruition. They were meant to appear in a collection parallel to the “Documents de guerra” (War Documents) collection (the one where Unitats de xoc appeared) and published by the Editorial Forja as well. Most of them were ready by the summer of 1938, but they were never published, probably because of a paper shortage.38 We know the title of Pere Calders’ contribution, La cèl·lula (The Cell), and it seems that Francesc Trabal’s was to be called El pit a la mà (Chest in Hand). Perhaps Mercè Rodoreda’s Les nits blaves (Blue Nights) was something along the lines of En una nit obscura (On a Dark Night), which is one of the best war stories from the time and almost the only non-realistic one (along with several others by Calders in which the war is a subsidiary theme). Finally, the end of C. A. Jordana’s Flames de juliol, which was always assumed to be lost, has come to light quite recently.40

Nonetheless, among the post-war output41 we can find novels which might have been at least partly written during the conflict, such as Joan B. Xuriguera’s La guerra civil (The Civil War),42 published well into the 1980s. We can also find novels that owe a direct debt to non-fictional genres, like Avel·lí Artís-Gener’s 556 Brigada Mixta (556 Mixed Brigade), which was issued in Mexico in 1945. This is a fictionalised chronicle of life on the front line at the time when the militias were being transformed into a regular army. The author himself later considered it a failed novel which should have been left as a journalistic report.43

Indeed, the “wonderful harvest” of the writers who had experienced the war took place several years later, as we shall see, but a pair of war novels was published during the conflict, although they are rather unimportant. Regarding one of them, La mort m’ha citat demà (Death Made an Appointment with me Tomorrow, 1938) by Joan Merli, Tasis himself states:

Everything is too cooked up for it to be a faithful document, and it is too unliterary to be passed off as an
lic writer Evelyn Waugh) and “The Common Man as Hero”,46 prevailed in the output after the generation that experienced the war. And they have led to two canonical novels: *Incerta glòria* (Uncertain Glory) by Joan Sales and *La plaça del Diamant* (translated as *The Pigeon Girl* or *The Time of the Doves*) by Mercè Rodoreda.

**Two canonical novels: *Incerta glòria* by Joan Sales and *La plaça del Diamant* by Mercè Rodoreda**

Joan Sales’ *Incerta glòria* appeared in 1956, the first novel published in Catalonia that focused on the war from the perspective of the defeated side. However, it is a work that was rewritten, expanded and revised until the 1970s.47 Mutilated by censorship in its original edition, it was not until 1962 that the first entire version was issued, when Gallimard published the French translation by Bernat Lesfargues (*Gloire incertaine*). Later, however, more material was added in different editions, especially in the last part, leading critic Joan Triadú, its most avid scholar, to speak about these additions to the main thematic core as an “autobiographical literary segregation, as a highly authoritative expression of the author’s total personality, which in this case is presented as ‘concealed’ as per Thomas Wolfe’s expression (that is, the kind of novelist who stuffs the novel, who conceals elements in it instead of delimiting it, making it the product of exclusions)”.

The meaning of Sales’ work, for whom the war, experienced in the peak of his youth as a combatant, was his most important life experience, is always the return to this same experience, and primarily to its consequences and derivations in the moral order, that is, everything that displaces the conflict towards moral, ideological and/or religious conflict. Indeed, the real purpose of the book is to inquire into mankind’s destiny and the ultimate meaning of his existence. Thus, the characters, who are sketched realistically (as are the episodes or atmospheres from both the battlefront and the home front) and reflect strictly lifelike human and ideological attitudes, also represent different quests for the absolute within an historical circumstance (the war and post-war years) that is an extreme situation which frames and gives meaning to moral reflection.

The book is organised into four parts which correspond to the voices of the different characters, who reveal themselves via letters or memoirs and offer their corresponding points of view on the same reality, experienced through different consciousnesses. Three characters share the same obsession with another character called Soleràs, who, like a collective consciousness, is a compendium of all the questions whose answer they do not know or do not dare to ask and extrapolates them in their extremes of lucidity or absurdity. Soleràs accumulates the concern with nothingness, with the sense of reality and the times which the other personalities have to differing degrees, and since he is the only one who dies during the war, he takes on a prophetic quality by foretelling “the nausea that will come afterward”. With his reflections, driven by the others, he shows himself to be the true “man” by accepting defeat as an essential component of the human condition. He is also the antithesis of another repulsive character, constructed in the style of Mauriac, the anti-man Lamoneda, a true “anti-Soleràs demon” who represents the synthesis of the individual and social evil that presides over life, seen from another perspective, and repeatedly, as a trajectory “between the obscene and the macabre”.

It is Soleràs, then, who wonders about the meaning of glory on behalf of all of them, and who determines its possible scope: “We were all born to conquer the universe yet we conquer nothing! The universe is beautiful but it doesn’t let itself [...]. Why is it so beautiful if it doesn’t let us possess it?” Thus, the thirst for glory, for grand, heroic and absolute causes, resides in the human consciousness, but its actualisation is limited: in love, by the impossibility of retaining fleeting happiness (“If we could make those moments eternal so they do not escape from our grasp...”); in war, because of the very human condition that distorts and degrades the original ideals (“There is in us an incomprehensible, unbearable duality. The first is the out-

---

**Figure 2.** Front cover of the novel *Incerta glòria* (*Uncertain Glory*). This work won the 1955 Joanot Martorell prize. It is a novel centred on the war, recounted from the standpoint of the defeated.
always the same ancient thing: “being erased from God’s memory”.

This is the last attitude gleaned from the book, and it is also the book’s positive meaning, as Triadú has noted: salvation through conversion, or the triumph of the cross over the absurd. In short, this discourse is perfectly compatible with the metaphysical and moral paradigms of the so-called “Catholic novel” enshrined in France by writers like François Mauriac and Georges Bernanos, or in a broader sense, with the concerns that Joan Sales would include “among the novelists of the ‘conscience déchirée’ and an entire theme of salvation, that is, the accomplishment that resolves the inner conflict by gaining heightened awareness”.

On another front, Mercè Rodoreda, who had been a famous storyteller during the Republican period, had to go into exile in 1939. Living in Geneva since 1954, she later resumed her career as a novelist. La plaça del Diamant, published by Joan Sales in El Club dels Novel·listes in 1962, had a wide readership and was reissued several times. For the author, the novel also signalled the start of a brilliant career which earned her extensive recognition among the expert critics along with widespread popularity, leading to repeated issuances of her work and unusually large print runs. All of this implies that, through the different interpretations that her output allows, Rodoreda’s oeuvre reached an extremely broad and varied readership, precisely the one that was the most difficult to reach in the conditions imposed on the Catalan literary market in the post-war period. La plaça del Diamant has been translated into 30 languages (including, for example, Czech, Greek and Chinese), and some of these languages even have two or more versions of the text by different translators. Thus, by placing recent history in the voice of a humble character who suffers from its consequences, the novel has become one of the most universally disseminated literary texts on the Spanish Civil War and post-war years.

The novel is a survival story told by the surviving character, who narrates (tells or confesses) her particular journey to hell and her emergence, in the last chapter, “into the night of every night, which was a midday that morning”. Natàlia (a name that etymologically means birth and is therefore linked to the resurgence of the self) tells the life of Colometa (of herself as Colometa) from her recovered identity as Natàlia. That is, she tells about her past, the period of her life when she began to be Colometa, from the day she met her first husband, Quimet, who nicknamed her Colometa (“Little Pigeon”) at a dance in the town festival in the neighbourhood of Gràcia (also foreshadowing and connoting the nightmare of pigeons that her life with him would become) until she permanently ceases to be Colometa and signs her story at the end of the novel over the doorway of the former life she left behind (“I wrote Colometa, carved nice and deeply”). This recalled story spans from a dance in the Plaça del Diamant, a square in the neighbourhood of Gràcia, in the
first chapter to the “cry of hell which I must have held inside me for many years” released in the middle of the square. And then, from the distance of time, she merges external reality, internal reality and different ways of appropriating them, that is, all the elements that form part of experience, from the conscious to the subconscious.

However, the perception of one’s own past does not tend to move through aseptic territory, and so the life of Colometa is “recalled” with more or less emotional empathy for the material evoked. This attitude is sustained throughout the entire discourse, which often alludes to the fluctuations of memory as an essential component of the narrative act, both to acknowledge the gaps (“things I don’t remember”, “things that are erased”) and to stress the meaning of the things recalled the most clearly (or recalled the most often) and to identify them in relation to the turning points in her life narration. This operation literarily re-creates the real processes of selective memory and reaches its utmost efficacy in the excerpt from Chapter 14 on the proclamation of the Republic (“I still remember that fresh air, an air, every time I remember, which I have never again been able to feel. Never again.”), parallel to the stress on forgetfulness when, in Chapter 33, she recounts the occupation of Barcelona by the victors (“How we survived those days I don’t know [...] I don’t know who told me they were handing out food somewhere, I don’t remember where, and I went there. I don’t know”).

However, parallel to this selective attitude, there are many discursive elements, most notably the motifs that anchor the symbolic plot, which make the narration “reveal” more things than what is derived from the simple desire to list events. Both mechanisms, the selective attitude of the voice (things are not said because people still “think” that they cannot be “said”) and its capacity for revelation (the things that are said without thinking that they are said) are part of the common behaviour of all “real” narration to an interlocutor. And the craft of fiction re-creates it (but does not imitate it) by altering the measure of the components according to their “importance”, thus fostering and extending the symbolic and poetic weight of the text. Therefore, the different elements of reality that the fiction includes, the geographic space or historical framework of the novel, appear to be assimilated in this voice, and they are part of it, among other reasons because the historical events are an integral part of the life experience and transformations (and the space in which this life takes place) of the subject of the story. They are not simply a “framework”; rather they are an essential, totally determining part of life, of its constituent elements, and they shape it (they are life, according to the saying “My dear, these things are life” which introduces the novel) and then that sustain a narration about life.

One of the most prominent features of Mercè Rodoreda’s writing is in fact that the often highly detailed precision of the elements of reality (streets, shops and shop windows, interiors of homes, objects, place names, expressive vernacular forms), the fit of the characters within their environment, within recognisable ways of life, within a specific urban geography and decisive historical events, in no way undermines the heavy symbolic density of the discourse; rather it sustains it and constitutes the bulk of it. For example, the formidable description of the “marketplace” in Chapter 14, because of its clearly intentional place within the narration (right before the excerpt on the proclamation of the Republic), serves a function that extends far beyond a chance evocation of the setting: it suggests a moment in life, brimming with smells and colours, which, however, also contains the seeds of death. And it also quite explicitly revives the motif of food (present from the beginning of the story, given the fact that as a young, unmarried girl Colometa worked in a pastry shop), a motif that appears recurrently through the entire novel (including pigeon feed, as well) and sets the wartime off from the immediate post-war period: the “feed grocer” is the one who feeds the pigeons, who saves Colometa from suicide by offering her food and work when she and her children are literally dying of hunger.

It is because of this multifaceted voice that we can clearly discern the historical point in time in Natàlia’s story, the collective events (political, social and wartime) which are derived from everything that the discourse re-
veals, either directly or indirectly, through the main character’s observations or from what other characters tell her and the narration reproduces. For this reason, the form “I was told” appears quite frequently, and in many cases, just like everything related to the battlefront, is the only plausible option. This time in history, the advent of the Republic, the war and the post-war, are described with perfectly identifiable details and a significant sociological map. The narration at the start of each of these three stages is a good example of the combination between real historical events and the main character’s subjective perception, immersed as she is in the transformations of a progressively alienated life.

Thus, for example, the proclamation of the Republic is an elegy of a specific day in April connoted with new scents of “tender leaves” and buds, with “a fresh air” that is unforgettable but “that vanished” all too soon. Therefore, it was a time brimming with promise (“closed flower buds”) and hope, lost forever and unrecoverable (“that I have never again been able to feel. Never again.”). The events noted at the start of the two other historical junctures that affect Colometa’s life, the war and the occupation of Barcelona by the victors, appear, in contrast, without specific timeframes (the narrator does not say “July” or “January” as she had said “April”) and are explained indirectly or go virtually unmentioned. The story does not say that the war began and then mention the consequent revolutionary outburst; rather it says that “While I devoted myself to the great revolution of the pigeons what happened happened, like something that was supposed to be very brief”. In contrast, we can find indications of it in mentions of “the heat” and “the smoke” from the burning churches, which is no longer the “fresh air” of that April day, nor even the “cold” and “wind” that connote the day that Barcelona was occupied (“And the last day it was windy and cold [...]. And the cold inside the heart was a cold that never ended”). The internal perception of events is thus more than hinted at through the frames of seasonal climate and the different lengths of the three historical junctures (Republic, war and post-war): fresh air, flower lasting one day which never again returns; an inflammatory period that was supposed to be brief and was (or became) very long; and another endless period of cold. The brilliance lies not in the fact that the main character’s narration captures these events that took place, as everyone knows, in the springtime, in the summer and in the dead of winter, respectively, rather in their use in the literary terrain (the relations that the novel establishes between real order and symbolic order) which the author, Rodoreda, extracts from this evidence, from this common knowledge that was part of the collective memory and was shared by her readers.

In this sense, the narration contains episodes, deeds or details that are front and centre in the collective historical memory. Regarding the wartime, for example, Rodoreda chooses extremely significant episodes which provide an overview (and a very chronologically accurate one) of the home front: the flight of Father Joan “wearing Mateu’s clothing and with a lorry that Cintet had found for them”; the murders at the home front by uncontrolled gangs and some reasons for them; the requisitions, rationing, hoarding and black market, the different levies, the colonies of refugee children; the bombardments (and the anti-aircraft civil protection measures, which symbolically explain the reason for the “blue lights”, which in the post-war years became an obsessive vision that threatened the main character until the end of the novel); the poster of tanks (a poster by illustrator Martí Bas, published by the Comissariat de Propaganda in 1937, often cited in memoirs about the war because of the reactions, clearly reflected in the novel, that triggered the demand “Make tanks, tanks, tanks!”), which, targeted at the civilian population, was unheard of; and the looting of shops on the day that Barcelona fell. And it also provides a hazier vision of the Aragon front, with the periods of forced immobility and conversations from one trench to the next. Quimet promises to bring his children figurines of folkloric figures from Aragon, just as Cintet, in the next chapter, brings oranges because he had been on the Eastern front.

Figure 5. Poster by Pere Català Pic. Aixafem el feixisme (Let’s Crush Fascism). [Barcelona]: Generalitat de Catalunya. Propaganda Commission [1936]. 100 x 70 cm.
lometa from dying. However, this character, Antoni, Colometa’s second husband (who restores her real name to her, a deed which ultimately allows her to recover her own identity), is crippled from the war (“useless down there”), and this condition is symbolically superimposed on that of grocer (who has food in his shop and does not fly pigeons but is forced to chase rats). Both are significant at different levels for the historical post-war period.

A position clearly favourable to Franco is represented by the couple of the house where Colometa serves, who dismiss her when they find out that Quimet “is one of the ones raising a ruckus”. In the post-war they still refuse to give her a job out of resentment towards the losers (“and he said I was a Red”). But the young apprentice at Quimet’s carpentry shop also shifts to the enemy camp, and after the war he tells Colometa that now he has a carpentry shop of his own and that changing camps “made life much easier”. Even a very simple character like Mrs Enriqueta, the main character’s advisor acting in lieu of her mother, expresses a devotion to the monarch laden with the simplicity of the masses, and hopes in a clearly ingenuous way that the nationals enter to “bring the King back”.

On the other hand, the narration reveals a Quimet who is delighted with the Republic and the political and social events, especially during wartime. This has a narrative justification, because even though the war occupies a proportionately smaller space within the novel, it is the deed that has the largest collective impact and also the most consequences in the life that is being narrated. Different characters in Colometa’s environs are part of this range, which encompasses everything from the wealthy class to the humblest working class. However, this sociological map is in no way mechanistic, as demonstrated by the different behaviours of two people from the same social class and profession: both of them grocers. The first, the one who lives under Colometa’s house (“the downstairs grocer”), is a complacent man who is first in favour of the Republicans and later only wants the war to end somehow, anyhow. In the post-war is not very friendly with the main character for fear of committing himself. He is the polar opposite of the “feed grocer”, who had fought against the Republicans and spent a year in the hospital, and shows solidarity with the losers when he prevents Co-

Likewise, parallel to the episodes and factual references from all three points in time, in the novel we can also see a range of highly representative stances (because they typify sociologically real positions) on the political and social events, especially during wartime. This has a narrative justification, because even though the war occupies a proportionately smaller space within the novel, it is the deed that has the largest collective impact and also the most consequences in the life that is being narrated. Different characters in Colometa’s environs are part of this range, which encompasses everything from the wealthy class to the humblest working class. However, this sociological map is in no way mechanistic, as demonstrated by the different behaviours of two people from the same social class and profession: both of them grocers. The first, the one who lives under Colometa’s house (“the downstairs grocer”), is a complacent man who is first in favour of the Republicans and later only wants the war to end somehow, anyhow. In the post-war is not very friendly with the main character for fear of committing himself. He is the polar opposite of the “feed grocer”, who had fought against the Republicans and spent a year in the hospital, and shows solidarity with the losers when he prevents Co-

Figure 6. Poster by Martí Bas i Blasi. Feu tancs... tancs... tancs...! Que són els vehicles de la victòria (Make Tanks... Tanks... Tanks! They’re the Vehicles of Victory). [Barcelona]: Generalitat de Catalunya. Propaganda Commission [ca. 1936-1939] (Barcelona: Gràfiques Ultra). 200 x 140 cm.

Figure 7. Poster by Carles Fontserè. Per els germans del front. Dones! Treballeu. (For Our Brothers at the Front, Women, Work!) Barcelona: PSU. Sindicat de Dibuixants Professionals UGT [1936?] (Barcelona: Gràfiques Ultra). 140 x 100 cm.
Thus, *La plaça del Diamant* is also, among many other things, a novel about the war in one of the most modern modalities of the genre: the tale of the survivor of horror. This is what the main character does: she tells not how history is made but how history is suffered. As a result, Rodoreda’s voice of Natàlia-Colometa falls within an important variation: one we could call “The Common Woman as Hero”. This can be added to the “common men” of Calders or Jordana, who preach a revision of the category of “hero”, among other categories that the horror of modern war, seen from the eyes of the 20th century, has rendered irrelevant.

NOTES AND REFERENCES
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